

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Napa

From: John Dorenbecher <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 8 Jun 2011 18:53:25 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: John Dorenbecher <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting Napa County

Message Body:

Napa County has absolutely nothing in common with counties in Sacramento Valley, namely Glenn, Colusa, Yuba and Sutter.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Napa

From: Dennis Makemson <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 8 Jun 2011 21:02:04 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Dennis Makemson <[REDACTED]>

Subject: What are you thinking?

Message Body:

Greetings

Why have you placed Napa County, excluding American Canyon, with Glenn, Butte, Sutter, etc.

Napa has nothing in common with these counties except we are located in the same state. Please reconsider the change that you are purposing

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Napa

From: Charles R Rivas <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 8 Jun 2011 18:22:47 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Charles R Rivas <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Re-districting

Message Body:

I strongly object to Napa County being separated from Sonoma and Mendecino counties. It is logical to keep us together as we all have the same interests and concerns.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Napa

From: David Mendelsohn <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 8 Jun 2011 21:34:46 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: David Mendelsohn <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Congressional district line

Message Body:

I understand from the local press that you have tentatively redrawn our congressional district #1 lines to include counties in the Central Valley with which we in Napa have very little in common. Our natural counties of common interest are Sonoma, Mendocino, Lake and to a limited extent Solano. I also understand that you propose to split our county at the American Canyon line and put that city which has a natural affinity to Napa into the same district as Vallejo.

Please reconsider where our common interest lies as the country's premier wine county.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Napa

From: John Lansingh <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 8 Jun 2011 18:53:34 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: John Lansingh <[REDACTED]>

Subject: CD 1

Message Body:

Keep all Napa Co. in one district.

Put Napa Co. in district with Sonoma, Lake and Mendo to keep all wine-oriented counties together.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Napa

From: Evan Wilson <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 8 Jun 2011 17:55:43 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Evan Wilson <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Communities of Interest

Message Body:

I saw the first draft maps which lump Napa with Central Valley Counties. That's wildly off the mark. Our interests as expressed by our industries are fine wine and wine related tourism.

Our neighbors in Sonoma County share our interests. They have a similar fine wine and wine tourism orientation. After Sonoma County, the next most similar are Lake and Menocino Counties.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Napa

From: Sandy Elles <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 8 Jun 2011 23:08:46 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Sandy Elles <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Community of interest

Message Body:

Dear Commissioners,

I urge you to reconsider the boundaries of your preliminary "visualizations" maps. As noted in a comment letter send last month by Napa County Farm Bureau, we believe the Napa ag community would be best served by remaining within the North Coast winegrowing areas. We also urge you to not split our county and to include American Canyon within the Napa Congressional district. Thank you for carefully considering our "community of interest" request.

Sincerely,

Sandy Elles

Executive Director

Napa County Farm Bureau

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Napa

From: Barbara Pahre <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 9 Jun 2011 05:39:42 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Barbara Pahre <[REDACTED]>

Subject: redrawing of lines

Message Body:

Please do not separate Napa County either from our existing wine partners or from itself (splitting off American Canyon.) Those of us who live here do not agree with the proposed redistricting. Thank you for listening. Keep Napa with premium wine regions.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Napa

From: Marita Dorenbecher <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 8 Jun 2011 17:19:51 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Marita Dorenbecher <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting

Message Body:

Sirs or Madam,

Napa County is a wine growing region - like Sonoma, Mendocino and the others in the 1st District. Also, American Canyon is a part of Napa County - therefore, please rethink lumping Napa with such dissimilar counties as Yolo and others more closely like Sacramento and cutting American Canyon off from Napa County. Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Napa

From: Janet Gotch <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 8 Jun 2011 21:10:10 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Janet Gotch <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting

Message Body:

I was shocked to see these new lines were even being considered. It is as if some bureaucrat with absolutely no idea of the areas arbitrarily drew these lines relying on insignificant data. Please consider the following points:

-Napa's shared communities of interest are Lake, Mendocino, Sonoma and to a lesser extent Yolo and Solano.

-These are the main counties of the premium wine industry. Our economies depend on wine, tourism and hospitality.

-Napa County has absolutely nothing in common with counties in Sacramento Valley, namely Glenn, Colusa, Yuba and Sutter.

-Not only do these counties share no common interests but are diametrically opposed on most issues.

-It makes much more sense to include Napa in a Congressional district with a Lake-Mendocino-Sonoma wine-tourism-coastal district than with northern Sacramento Valley.

-We understand that the commission has to split many jurisdictions for population considerations, but leaving American Canyon out of Napa County's district is destructive to the great work the City of American Canyon has been doing to create a shared identity with the rest of the county.

PLEASE do not upset this balance.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Napa

From: Dottie Rentschler <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 8 Jun 2011 19:32:50 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Dottie Rentschler <[REDACTED]>

Subject: redistricting

Message Body:

I wish to be in a district similar to Napa. Respecting our agriculture and interests.

A voting citizen,

Dottie Rentschler

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Napa

From: Genji Schmeder <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 8 Jun 2011 22:00:56 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Genji Schmeder <[REDACTED]>

Subject: grouping American Canyon with rest of Napa county

Message Body:

Honorable Commissioners--

Your draft map of northern California congressional districts seems to separate the City of American Canyon from the rest of Napa County. This may be only an illusion from poor resolution, but an article yesterday in the Napa Valley Register shows that many people are concerned over this apparent division.

I have never lived in American Canyon, but was a leader of the successful 1990 campaign to prevent siting of a regional landfill there. As a resident of the City of Napa only eight miles northward, my motivation was the best interests of our entire county's people and environment.

Since incorporation of American Canyon in 1992, it has been crucially important to promote a spirit of cooperation among all cities of our county. Separating Napa County into separate legislative districts would hinder this ongoing effort.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Napa

From: Kathryn Winter <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 8 Jun 2011 17:58:39 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Kathryn Winter <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Totally Inappropriate New District

Message Body:

I have been involved in public policy for fifteen years at the state level in the Governor's Office of Planning and Research doing planning, and as a locally elected town council member, county planning commissioner and elected county supervisor. I also began the process of applying to serve on the redistricting commission, as it is an interest of mine.

I am completely appalled and angered by the proposed removal of Napa County from its historic connection to the coastal areas that grow premium wine grapes and the proposed inclusion with central valley communities. Napa County has absolutely no affinity for the central valley, no connection to it, either geographically or politically, and nothing in common. Napa County is more progressive and tends to vote more in line with the counties of Marin, Mendocino, and even Solano. It has premium soils that produce the highest quality wines, similar to Sonoma and Mendocino. This would be an unfortunate, unwelcome and totally inappropriate change. Also, the removal of American Canyon from Napa County is laughable. There is no justification for splitting a county. Period. Please redraw your lines and place Napa County where it has been historically for many years.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Napa

From: Ryan Raes <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 9 Jun 2011 02:17:18 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Ryan Raes <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Do not redistrict American Canyon

Message Body:

This is a travesty. The City of American Canyon has done so much to identify itself as part of Napa County. They help our industry and taking this important part of Napa County out and adding less relevant neighboring county areas in, would be terrible.

Please reconsider this.

Sincerely,

-Ryan Raes

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission